

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/814,663	LI ET AL.
	Examiner Sangwoo Ahn	Art Unit 2166

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Sangwoo Ahn.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christopher J. Lutz (Reg. No. 44,883).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 March 2007

**Time:** 1PM EST

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant.  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

28 - 30

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. Lutz to discuss 35 USC 101 issues regarding claims 28 - 30. Mr. Lutz agreed to fix these claims as suggested by the Examiner to overcome these issues. Mr. Lutz further agreed to fix the independent claims 1,11,14,24 to incorporate features recited in claims 28 - 30. Mr. Lutz authorized the Examiner to amend these claims and make minor corrections through Examiner's Amendment. .